

BOWLAND MATHS Assessing the Case Studies

Reducing Road Accidents Assessing the learning

Case Study description

Pupils examine ways of reducing road accidents using a real world simulation.

Suitability	National Curriculum levels 5 to 7.				
Time	The assessment activities are part of the Case Study; they can be completed within the lesson and homework time for it.				
Resources	All the resources are listed within the Case Study.				



Opportunities to assess Key Processes

The lessons are inter-related hence evidence of any of the Key Processes may be seen at any time. The following reflects evidence gathered through trials.

- Representing: during lessons 2, 3 and 4
- Analysing: during lessons 1, 2, 3 and 4
- Interpreting and evaluating: during lessons 1, 2, 3 and 4
- Communicating and reflecting: during lessons 3 and 4.

In addition to assessment of the Key Processes, there are opportunities to assess Range and Content (detail is within the Case Study) and some of the other personal, learning and thinking skills, particularly for 'team working'.



Lesson 1: Exploring the situation

Pupils review and analyse raw data as they begin to make hypotheses about the best way to spend money on accident prevention.

Teacher guidance

Observe how well pupils:

- 'Dig into' the data
- Draw materials together to form arguments and conclusions





Resource S3 Casualty Report Forms Resource S4 Photographs

Questions to ask:

- How did you start? Would you do anything differently if you were starting again?
- How you know this photo does not match this accident report?
- How certain are you that you have matched everything correctly?
- Which features were most / least helpful when you were solving the problem? Why?

Assessment guidance: Progression in Key Processes

		Analysing	Interpreting and evaluating
P R O G R E S S I O N	1	Matches some accident reports to photos Pupil A	Gives arguments to support conclusions Pupil A
		Brings together information from the map, report forms and photos to match some incidents; creates own report which has some correct features	Gives arguments to support conclusions, recognising the need to check solutions
		Brings together all information to give a mostly correct solution; creates own report which is mostly unambiguous Pupil B	Uses the map, report forms and photos to give reasoned arguments, recognising the need to check solutions Pupil B
		Brings together all information to give a correct solution, and creates own accurate and unambiguous report	Uses the map, report forms and photos to give concise, reasoned arguments, recognising the need to check solutions, and shows understanding that map references are estimates only

Sample response: Pupil A

Comments

Pupil A explained why some photos and incidents match, but needed teacher support to complete the activity. It is not possible to work out the exact location of the accident from her incident report.

Probing questions and feedback

• You be the driver. I'll be the casualty. Please help me understand exactly where I was and how the incident happened?

Pupil A would benefit from working on a range of practical activities to help develop her understanding of position.

Sample response: Pupil B

Comments

Pupil B created a mostly accurate solution which he checked and explained. However his map references lacked accuracy. His incident report was ambiguous since the infants' school is not due south from the bridge and his map reference confirmed a lack of understanding of scale.

Probing questions and feedback

Police Re	cord	3 ⁻¹ -			a the data					
Time 11.00am Day Tusedaw					Date 12+m may Year 3					3
Location of a		Map Reference								
Name of casu	alty Allum	frai	Ser		Age of c	asualt	y 31			
Vehicle Pedestrian			-0	yelis t	list -Car I			otorbike - Other v		er vehic le
Road Conditions	litions - Dry +		-₩	iet Show		Snow	Frost/Ice			
Speed limit	10-	=	20	30	40- 50-		-6	0	-70	
Severity		Fatal	-	7 7	Ser	ious		-	Sligh	ŧ
Description of accident He turned right on to church lane but a car pulling out the envicen made calluni Swerve out of the way, as it was frosty new coulded with a lampast and the motorfike exploded fata										

Police Re	cord 6				HANNE I HIGH I HIGH				
Time	Day	Sund	94	Da	te-25(12/90	Year	1970)
Location of a	ccident	s echod	5	Map	Reference	80:	5 11 5	50	
Name of casu	alty CAN	thy L	peril	Age	of casualty	1 8	5		/
Vehicle	Pedestria	n (Cyclist		Çar	Moto	orbike	Other	vehicle
Road	Dry		V	yet		Snow		Frøst/	ce
Speed limit 10 20			30		40	50	60		70
Severity	Severity Fatal				Serious			Slight	
Description of accident Mr. Baril was walking due South towards the infants school across the bridge on the main road. He was hit by a motor cyclust. The suspension of the motor bike be came ladged in his stomach and he died instantly.									

• Please show me East 805 North 1150 on the map? Now move east in steps of 5. How does that help you to see that your map reference was not accurate? Can you improve it?

Pupil B would benefit from working on a range of practical activities to help develop his understanding of scale.



Lesson 2: Formulating hypotheses

Pupils explore possible causes of accidents, developing hypotheses as they work.

Teacher guidance

Observe how well pupils:

- Use the data to make general statements
- Back up their statements with evidence

Questions to ask:

- Does a (bar chart/pie chart) show the data effectively? Why/why not?
- Are there any other factors that you could consider?
- How confident are you that you have identified the most important patterns and the probable causes?

Assessment guidance: Progression in Key Processes

			Representing	Analysing	Interpreting and evaluating
PROGRESSION	P R o]	Needs teacher support to help break down the task	Finds a relevant pattern in the data, eg most accidents happen to males	Gives evidence to support own relevant pattern
	O G R E		Chooses a way to review the data	Finds more than one relevant pattern in the data	Gives convincing arguments to support own relevant patterns
	I O I S S	7	Uses diagrams and graphs to support the arguments	Finds a wide range of patterns within the data Pupil pair C	Uses graphs and/or diagrams effectively to support reasoned arguments
	\bigvee		Shows understanding of the most appropriate means of presenting reasoned arguments Pupil pair C	Works independently to structure the analysis in order to reach detailed arguments	Uses graphs and/or diagrams effectively and concisely to support reasoned arguments Pupil pair C



Sample response: Pupil pair C



Comments

Pupil pair C analysed the data, formulating simple hypotheses. Their work in the following lesson (some of which is shown below) confirmed they understood how evidence is used to support their claims.

Probing questions and feedback

 Why did you choose to use a pie chart to show the incidents? What other options did you consider?

The pupils may benefit from working without structured support, such as that provided by the worksheet, when they analyse data and write a report. What is a possible main cause of accidents? People keing hit by on busy roads hit by cars What is my evidence? Where do they occur? Most of the accidents are By busy roads and schools, by the main roads and comers and round-Outside schools about When do they happen? What is my evidence? Most of them happen at Sam-On the graph it proves it because in Feb 9 an and 3pm - 4pm On One 4th year, Friday, and in it's cold and icy and on Fridays children are in a rish to Who do they happen to? get home for the weekend School students Because they are young between 5-16 age and are outside the school What might be done to reduce the number of accidents? crossings and traffic Put more zebra lights up and reduce speed limit

Each time you think you have found a possible cause of accidents, note down your

S7: Making notes on your ideas (optional)

thoughts in this table

Our criteria

We have concentrated on fatal and serious accidents. The areas where the most occurring dots, show we need to take action on particular incidents.



Pie chart of serious and fatal incidents. The pie chart, as you

can tell shows us that 72 cyclists and Pedestrians were involved in many serious and fatal accidents. That's 58%



Infant School

All of the red dots are all in a specific area. They all have 3 things in common:

★ All were pedestrians
★ All were attending the

school

★ All incidents occurred just before or after the end of school





Lessons 3 and 4: Making and presenting a case

Pupils decide how to allocate money to reduce accidents. They present arguments to support their decisions and consider arguments offered by others.

Teacher guidance

Observe how well pupils:

- Choose what to present and how to present it
- Create a detailed but concise report that includes reasoned arguments and clear conclusions
- Reflect on their own approach and those of others

Questions to ask:

- Have you considered any other possible causes? Or solutions?
- How confident are you that your solution is likely the save the most lives? Why?
- How will you convince others about your proposal? Would it convince 'Dragon's Den'?

Assessment guidance: Progression in Key Processes

		Representing	Analysing	Interpreting and evaluating	Communicating and reflecting
		Needs teacher support to help break down the task	Draws simple conclusions Pupil trio D	Presents evidence to support simple conclusions Pupil trio D	Creates a simple report; gives simple feedback to others Pupil trio D
		Chooses which information to use, selects simple tools Pupil trio D, Pupil pair E	Draws conclusions, processing data correctly Pupil pair E	Presents evidence to support conclusions Pupil pair E	Creates a report that includes major issues; gives feedback to others
		Chooses which information to use, selects effective tools	Draws effective conclusions, using simple statistics	Uses graphs and/or diagrams effectively to support convincing arguments	Communicates effectively; gives effective feedback and reflects on own approach Pupil pair E
	/	Uses a wide range of appropriate tools, eg symbols, diagrams, tables and graphs Pupil pair F	Draws effective conclusions, using appropriate and effective statistics Pupil pair F	Uses a range of forms effectively to support concise, reasoned arguments Pupil pair F	Communicates effectively using a range of forms; gives insightful feedback and reflects on a range of approaches Pupil pair F

Sample response: Pupil trio D



The pupils drew some correct conclusions, but made an error in their total cost. The amount of evidence is limited as was their oral feedback to others. However, their reflection showed an awareness of how they might improve.

Probing questions and feedback

• Now that you have heard presentations from other groups, what would you change about your presentation and why?

The pupils would benefit from undertaking other tasks that required them to present their solutions. A 'dummy run' of their draft presentation would enable the teacher to use probing questions to help move forward their understanding.



We are putting two traffic lights in the area surrounding the primary and junior school because there are many incidents around that area and most involve pedestrians so the traffic lights will reduce those accidents

Sample response: Pupil pair E

Our solution

Most accidents take place in dry weather with serious impacts. Statistics show that the vehicle, would usually be travelling at 30 mph and the accidents would commonly involve pedestrians and cars.

To help solve these accidents we have come up with a few ideas which we believe will benefit this town in a great way, resulting in the number of accidents being reduced providing you with a safer town.

Firstly our number one priority would be the infant school. Children are being injured by cars speeding along the schools main road.



One possible solution would be to employ a lollypop lady to prevent vehicles colliding with the children. We would place her roughly at 1,125 North and 600 East.

The time of all these accidents were either before or after school, employing a lollypop lady is good because she

dosen't need to stay the night only a few hours when school starts and school finishes. This would cost only £5,000 a year and much better than a pelican crossing because it is almost three times cheaper and just as effective.

Comments

The pupils gave a clear account of their proposed actions but used limited statistics in support of their approach. They were effective in giving supportive but critical comment on presentations by other groups.

Probing questions and feedback

- Did you consider including graphs, diagrams or percentages to illustrate the scale of the problem? How might that help the audience?
- A summary of recommendations is usually given in a report. What key facts would you need in your summary and why?

Our second most common accident would be by the bridge. Cars are going over the bridge while at the same time pedestrians are crossing the unsafe footpath. Cars can't see the pedestrians crossing and the



pedestrians are unaware of the cars This is a verv dangerous situation. The weather does not cause these accidents as it is shown on the map; these accidents are caused by pedestrians and cars not being aware of each other. To solve this dangerous situationwe would place a pelican crossing where the

bridge and the footpath meet.. The coordinates for this would be; 980 North and 1380 East.



This would cost 18,000 and is better than traffic lights because it is cheaper and includes traffic lights anyway. This would be increasing the safety for almost 1/3 of the cost of traffic lights.

The third problem would be car and pedestrian accidents around the entrance and exits of car parks. Cars are speeding into and out of the shopping centre car park knocking over pedestrians in the way. This happens mostly in dry weather however it increases when it is raining or wet. The cars are travelling at approximately 30mph.

Our solution would be to have barriers when you come in and go out of the car park and have to pay for a ticket because that would slow people down a great deal. We would put them just before the entrance and just outside the entrance. This is also a good idea because it gives you a good daily income because so many people use the shopping facilities. This would cost approximately £30-40,000. This may look expensive but with your daily income of the cost of parking it would cost as much as you think.

Another solution would be to put speed bumps in the car park and outside the car park which would $\cot \pounds 1,000$ a bump but both these solutions would really cut down on the amount of accidents.

Pupil pair E would benefit from engaging with further problems in which they are challenged to use quantitative/statistical arguments to support, justify and then rank recommendations.

Sample response: Pupil pair F



.....Continued on next page.....





Comments

The pupils structured their report effectively. They used statistics to justify their choices, and produced details of most, but not all of their proposals.

Probing questions and feedback

- Do all your recommendations have the necessary information alongside?
- Is it certain you would save 10 lives and stop 24 serious accidents? Why not? How do you think decisions made about the likely costs of saving lives in the real world?

Pupil pair E would benefit from tackling further challenging activities that take them out of their comfort zone.